

REMARKS

In response to the above identified Final Office Action, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the pending claims.

Claims 1-4, 7-16, and 29-40 are pending in the present application. Please cancel claims 1-4, 7-16, and 29-40 without prejudice. Please add claims 41-56. Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments made herein do not add new matter.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-4, 7-16, and 29-40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1-4, 7-16, and 29-40 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1, 7-10, 12, 16, 29, 35-38, and 40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,154,759 to Chou ("Chou") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,900,875 to Haitani, et al. ("Haitani").

Claims 2, 15, and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou in view of to Haitani, as applied to claims 1, 12, and 29 above, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,945,980 to Moissev, et al. ("Moissev").

Claims 3, 11, 13, 31, and 39 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou in view of to Haitani, as applied to claims 1, 9, 12, 29, and 37 above, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,619,396 to Gee, et al. ("Gee").

Claims 4, 14, and 32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou in view of to Haitani, as applied to claims 1, 12, and 29 above, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,594,680 to Ohdake, et al. ("Ohdake").

Claims 33 and 34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou in view of to Haitani, as applied to claim 29 above, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,848,298 to Steere, Jr., et al. ("Steere").

All of the above claims have been canceled in favor of new claims 41-56. The applicant believes that the above references do not teach the requirements of these new claims. The only new reference, Haitani, teaches a portable computer system (a PDI) that wakes up in response to user input. In contrast, claim 41 requires that the portable computer system wake up a wallet it is detachable connected to. Therefore, claims 41-56 are allowable.

If a telephone interview would in any way expedite the prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (408) 947-8200.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any charges that may be due. Furthermore, if an extension is required, then Applicant hereby requests such an extension.

Respectfully submitted,
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Dated: 5/13, 2003


Adam Furst
Reg. No. 51,710

12400 Wilshire Blvd.
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(408) 947-8200